UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,567	10/25/2003	Nick E. Ciavarella	GOJ 03031	2169
26360 7590 08/14/2007 RENNER, KENNER, GREIVE, BOBAK, TAYLOR & WEBER FIRST NATIONAL TOWER FOURTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE	
106 S. MAIN STREET AKRON, OH 44308			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/693,567	CIAVARELLA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	o <u>ril 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 9</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4, 9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No			
application from the International Bureau		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) \[\begin{align*} Interval and Operation of the content o	(DTO 442)			
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/693,567

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments, see appeal brief, filed 4/18/07, with respect to claims 2-7 and 9 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 5-7 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tucker et al 4,974,753.

Tucker et al show a collar comprising a keyplate 64 and a first 30 and second 40 flange. Tucker et al discloses the claimed invention except for the notch 68 on the flange 30 and the projecting part 32 on the dispenser. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to put the notch on the flange and the projecting part on the dispenser, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Re claim 9 discloses the claimed invention except for each flange including a notch. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a notch on the second flange, since it has been held that

mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tucker 4,974,753 in view of Smernoff 5,377,876.

Tucker et al lack the collar having a vertical rib. Smernoff shows a vertical rib 16 on a collar to facilitate grasping (column 4, lines 33-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Tucker et al collar with a vertical rib as taught by Smernoff to facilitate grasping.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/18/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that the flanges of Tucker et al do not receive a keyplate therebetween. Tucker et al show first 30 and second flanges 40 receiving a keyplate 64 in as much as applicant claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,567

Art Unit: 3754

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PHILIPPE S DERAKSHANI Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

PD 8/7/07